Members Comments at November 2011 Workshops

Efficiency Options

Ref	Description of Proposal	Conservative Group Comments	Labour Group Comments	Liberal Democrat Comments
		Broad acceptance to the proposal.	Broad acceptance to the proposal.	Queried which toilets would still be run by NHDC. Concerned that toilets that are closed would still attract costs such as rates, electricity etc, has the cost of the rates been taken into account and is there a way to limit these costs? Has there been discussions with other Parish Councils and if so what is the position.
		Officer comment: The three toilet facilities which are proposed to remain open are Fish Hill, Roystor Arena Parade, Hitchin and Howard Park, Letchworth. Ongoing maintenance costs for closed facilities been considered and will be incorporated into the final budget.		
		Broad acceptance to the proposal.	Broad acceptance to the proposal.	Broad acceptance to the proposal. Has the cost of retaining an empty Hitchin Museum been factored into the saving?
		Officer comment : This proposal is for the temporary saving during the construction phase of the properties and the current museum buildings are no lor used as museums have not yet been incorporated into the budget.		
		proposal.	Broad acceptance to the proposal.	Broad acceptance to the proposal.
		Broad acceptance to the proposal.		Proposal Supported. Comments that the amount of the estimated saving could be too modest.
Officer comment: The Council has received a rebate of over £9k from the Audit 2011/12 audit fees as part of the drive by the Audit Commission to reduce fees. The A mentions an intended 10% reduction in fees and the Audit Commission has said they reduce fees by more than this following the current procurement exercise. The but accordingly as and when we receive firm notification of a reduction in fees.				luce fees. The Audit fees consultation on has said they are hopeful they can kercise. The budget will be reduced

APPENDIX 5

Members Comments at November 2011 Workshops

Ref	Description of Proposal	Conservative Group	Labour Group Comments	Liberal Democrat Comments
1101	2000 pilon on repodu	•	Lastar Group Commonts	
E5	Ceasing the Chairman's annual reception	retained. Members thought the actual cost is probably less than the budgeted £7k. Other options should be explored, such as sponsorship and Members paying for tickets and wine. Investigate whether this can be combined with other events such	example, could be pursued. If the Chairman's reception was stopped then alternative arrangements would need to be shown to be in place for equivalent fundraising for charity. Attendance at the LGA, CIPFA, and IRRV conferences should be	An alternative suggestion of charging Members to attend should be investigated. Members were also keen to know how much post Committee drinks cost on an annual basis. A view should be taken as to whether this should stop.
		as civic awards to ensure the good PR for civic initiatives can be continued.	Chairman's reception. Should also investigate the potential saving from not registering with IIP. Could the same outcome of IIP be achieved by benchmarking with other Authorities and having a reciprocal agreement for inspection, for example?	
		Officer comment: Officers were asked to look into potential savings around the delivery of the Chairman's reception at the September Member workshops. With regards to conference attendance action was taken last year to reduce the cost of attendance at conferences and attendance is now a minimal number of officers and members. It is not a like for like comparison to compare the attendance at technical conferences, where there is opportunity to learn from other Authorities, to a civic event within the District.		
		Proposal not supported. How many people does the service assist? What would the cost implications be to NHDC Homelessness Service, if this proposal was implemented? Would like the narrative on the	HYHG been consulted on this proposal? If not this would need to be done before any decision is	Proposal not supported. This could cost us more in the long term with negative impact on homelessness. Have HYHG been consulted on how this would impact on their service? Could S106 with regard to the amount allocated for Youth Provision be used to top up the
		efficiency option to be reworded,		grant for this Youth Charity?

APPENDIX 5

Members Comments at November 2011 Workshops

Ref	Description of Proposal	Conservative Group	Labour Group Comments	Liberal Democrat Comments
		Comments		
		to emphasise the duty will fall		
		back to NHDC.		
		Officer Comment: The effectiveness of a prevention service is always going to be difficult to prove, particularly with regard to this client group. A report that contains information on the number of students that have benefited from the HYHG service so far this year, and feedback from the students is available and can be circulated to Members separately as required. In the period April to October 2011 a total of 91 students attended sessions in the North Herts area. In terms of use of s106 monies, regard would have to be had to the detailed agreement regarding use of the funds.		
		Proposal not supported.	Proposal not supported. The	Would need more information
		What is current usage?	Council's service provides key	before decision on this can be
		Concerns about what would	evidence for cases of dispute. A	made. Need information on how
		happen if police required a noise	reduction would have a health	often the out of hours service is
		abatement notice.	impact. Would want to know what	used.
			other service would be in place if	Could this be a shared service?
		Officer Comment. A reduction in	the service was terminated.	
		Officer Comment: A reduction in the service from all year round to summer months only was approved as part of the 2011/12 savings programme. The proposal this year is to further reduce the service by terminating it. Activity data has been collated and in the period April to Sept 2011 there were 94 complaints received and 47 visits by Officers to premises. A graph with this data is available and can be circulated to Members if required. Sharing of the service may be difficult due to the need to attend the site and the potential travelling time for officers from other Councils.		
		Broad acceptance to the	Do not think this proposal would	Broad acceptance to the proposal.
		proposal.	work unless an RSL could be	Could Parish Councils fund cost of
		ргорозан.	found to undertake the work	surveys?
			across the whole District and think	Surveys:
			this is very unlikely.	
			Tame to very armitery.	
		Broad acceptance to the	Support in principle but concerns	Broad acceptance to the proposal.
		proposal.	about the change impact on	Is the fee to food establishments a
		proposition and the second	businesses if the scoring of the	fixed fee or can we recharge them
			national scheme is different to the	based on the cost of the service, so
			current scheme. Suggest that	the service fully recovers it costs?
			consultation would be needed in	
			the first year so any saving should	
			be delayed for a year.	

APPENDIX 5

Members Comments at November 2011 Workshops

Ref	Description of Proposal	Conservative Group Comments	Labour Group Comments	Liberal Democrat Comments
E10	Deletion of IT Consultancy Budget	Broad acceptance to the proposal.	Support this principle and also a cap on any other consultancy spend across the Council. Members need to be provided with more detail of consultancy spend and the justification for all the spend. Spend on consultancy should be explicitly linked to a member decision for the spend.	Broad acceptance to the proposal.
		Officer Response: This saving relates to one of very few remaining revenue consultancy budgets. The large majority of spend on external consultants will be relating to specific capital programmes which will have been approved by Members as part of the Corporate Business Planning process. The FAR Committee indicated they were satisfied with the information provided on consultancy spend at the last meeting. Proposal supported. Support this proposal and request that the same format is used for all		
			surveys.	